

and including entry of default judgment.

On March 13, 2013, the Plaintiffs filed their Response to the Order to Show Cause and the Declaration of Sarah M. Banda in support of the Response. Docket Nos. 198 and 199, respectively. The Plaintiffs filed both motions under seal and filed the Emergency Motion to Seal Response to Order to Show Cause seeking the Court's approval to seal both the Response and the Declaration in Support of the Response. Docket No. 200.

## **DISCUSSION**

To demonstrate good cause to seal a document, "the party seeking protection bears the burden of showing specific prejudice or harm will result if no protective order is granted." *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir.2002)).

Here, the Plaintiffs have indicated that their Response to the Order to Show Cause contains statements and information relating to confidential settlement discussions. For this reason, the Plaintiffs argue, their Response should not be public.

Although the Plaintiffs do not indicate specifically what information, if revealed, will cause it harm, the Court agrees that settlement conference discussions are not intended for the public and are confidential between the Court and the attending parties. For example, the Plaintiffs' Response contains statements relating to offers that were allegedly made during the settlement discussions, and that information should not be available to the public. Accordingly, the Response should remain sealed from the public.

However, no good cause exists to seal the Response from the Defendants. The Defendants are already aware of the settlement conference discussions because they were at the settlement conference. As the Court indicated before settlement discussions began, all statements, arguments, and offers made by each party during the settlement conference were presented to the opposing side by the Court, unless a party specifically requested otherwise. The Plaintiffs have not indicated what information in the Response, if any, should not be known by the Defendants nor how such information, if revealed, will cause harm.

Finally, the Court believes that a response by the Defendants to the Plaintiffs' Response to the Order to Show Cause would assist the Court in reaching a conclusion on the Order to

## Case 2:10-cv-01434-MMD-NJK Document 201 Filed 03/15/13 Page 3 of 3

Show Cause. Accordingly, a copy of the Response to the Order to Show Cause and the
Declaration of Sarah M. Banda should be served on the Defendants. Defendants are to respond
by March 22, 2012.
<u>CONCLUSION</u>
Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing therefore,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs Emergency Motion to Seal Response to Order
to Show Cause (#200) is <b>GRANTED</b> .
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall serve electronically the
Response to the Order to Show Cause (#198) and the Declaration of Sarah M. Banda in support
of the Response (#199) on the Defendants.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendants shall provide the Court with its
response to the Response to the Order to Show Cause by March 22, 2013.
DATED this 15th day of March, 2013.
NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge
Cinica States Wagistree Laage
•